

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE
8 CORPORATION (“FREDDIE MAC”),
9 Plaintiff,
10 v.
11 CHANHT REATREY KEO, et al.,
12 Defendants.

Case No. [19-cv-02099-JSC](#)

**ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO
SHOW CAUSE**

Re: Dkt. No. 5

13 Defendant Chanht Reatrey Keo’s response to the Court’s April 30, 2019 order to show
14 cause as to why this removed case should not be remanded to state court correctly asserts that
15 federal courts have original jurisdiction over suits involving Plaintiff Freddie Mac. (*See* Dkt. No.
16 5 at 1-2); *see also Lightfoot v. Cendant Mortg. Corp.*, 137 S. Ct. 553, 564 (2017) (noting that
17 “[s]uits involving Freddie Mac may be brought in federal court” because “civil actions to which
18 Freddie Mac is a party arise under federal law”) (citing 12 U.S.C. § 1452(c),(f); 28 U.S.C. §§
19 1345, 1442). Thus, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction and accordingly discharges the order
20 to show cause.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

21
22 Dated: May 20, 2019

23
24
25 
JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
26 United States Magistrate Judge
27
28